

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/791,819	03/04/2004	Tsung-Neng Liao	4299-0122P	3661		
2292	7590 05/24/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	EWART KOLASCH &	TRAN, T	TRAN, THAO T			
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		1711			
		DATE MAILED: 05/24/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	W			
		•					
	Office Action Summary	10/791,819	LIAO ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAN INC DATE CHE	Thao T. Tran	1711				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. The mail or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address	s			
THE - External after aft	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1  r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl  o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for terply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute  reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI to cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this commun  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status							
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 M</u>	larch 2005					
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)[	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits i						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	tion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	· / ————	n from consideration.					
Applicat	tion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-15	52.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have beer u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  n received in this National Stag	e			
	·						
Attachmer	nt(s)						
1) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	s)/Mail Date informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1.			

Application/Control Number: 10/791,819

Art Unit: 1711

# DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

## Response to Amendment

- 1. This is in response to the Amendments filed 3/1/2005.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 4-15 are currently pending in this application. Claim 3 has been canceled.

  Claims 8-15 have been newly added. Claims 1-2, 4-6 have been amended.

#### Election/Restrictions

3. Newly submitted claims 9-15 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Claims 9-15 are directed to a backlight unit comprising a diffuser and a lamp, whereas original claims are directed to a substrate.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 9-15 have been withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,819 Page 3

Art Unit: 1711

5. Claims 1-4, 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stein et al. (US Pat. 6,322,860).

Stein teaches a plastic substrate 1, coated on both sides with crosslinked coatings 2, barrier coatings 3 (insulating layers) (see Figs. 2-3; col. 2, ln. 26-33). The substrate can be polycarbonate (see col. 1, ln. 53-55); the crosslinked coatings can be polymethylmethacrylate (see col. 7, ln. 7); the barrier coatings can be a cyclic olefin copolymer (see col. 10; ln. 60-62). Stein further teaches the barrier coating to be approximately 5 microns (see col. 15, ln. 21-22). Although Stein does not specifically teach the substrate to have edge sides coated with the barrier coatings, since Stein teaches the same substrate to be used in optical devices, as disclosed by the present specification, Stein's substrate would inherently have edge sides covered with the barrier coatings because the coatings are applied by dipping the substrate into the solution (see Examples).

Moreover, with respect to how the insulating layers are formed on the substrate, it has been within the skill in the art that process limitations would have no significant patentable weight in an article claim.

Since the barrier coating and the substrate in Stein are the same as the insulating layers and the substrate member in the presently claims, the barrier coatings of the reference would inherently keep water in the substrate as the substrate is heated.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/791,819 Page 4

Art Unit: 1711

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stein as applied to claim 1 above.

Stein is as set forth in claim 1 above and incorporated herein.

Stein does not teach the barrier coatings to be between 50-200 microns. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, that thickness of a part would have been determined by routine experimentation depending upon user's preference and intended use. A barrier coating with more thickness would have a better barrier function but too thick a barrier coating would increase haziness, and a barrier with less thickness would enhance transparency but too thin a barrier coating would increase brittleness.

### Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 3/1/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Throughout the Remarks, Applicants contend that the reference of Stein does not teach the barrier layers keeping water in the substrate from escaping. However, as pointed out in paragraph 5 above, since the barrier layers and the substrate taught by Stein are the same as those in the presently claimed invention, they would inherently have the same properties or functions. Thus, what Stein teaches does anticipate the presently claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,819

Art Unit: 1711

#### Conclusion

Page 5

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thao T. Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-1080. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, from 9:00 a.m. - 5:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,819

Art Unit: 1711

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tt

May 17, 2005

THAOT.TRAN
PATENT EXAMINER

Thao Tran